

CES Committee Proposed Goals

PURPOSE: To collectively improve and support Coordinated Entry Systems across Minnesota

SCOPE OF WORK: The stakeholders will collectively come together to identify and discuss statewide efforts that will improve coordinated entry implementation and outcomes statewide. The Committee respects that each of the stakeholders has their own governing body and stakeholders that feedback is essential to an effective and coordinated system. |

GOALS:	TIMELINE
<p>Assuring clients can easily request housing and be referred across regions by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Supporting the use of common assessment tools; b. Identifying core policies that support fair, equal and client friendly access; and c. Supporting technological efforts and improvements (HMIS and other) that improve access and referrals. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Report out on entry into HMIS ii. 2nd round of updates iii. DV referrals and integration iv. Referrals between CoCS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. October 2018 for statewide use of scripted version and October 2019 for approved tool. b. Tabled until after statewide tool discussion. c. Review report in October. PLM sub-committee put in request to HMIS for referrals by December. DV discussion at Sept. meeting (work with P&P)
<p>Support quality CES data across the state:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Work with ICA to create a common report for CES data; b. Encourage and support the HHA Data Committee in seeking non-HMIS data solution that allows for improved regional and statewide CES reporting. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Beta report out in September 2018. Review in February 2019. b. Provide comments to Data Committee Analysis proposal in October 2018.
<p>Review statewide CES data on a semi-annual basis to identify potential trends, gaps or deficiencies.</p>	<p>February and August Meetings review.</p>
<p>Work with TA to achieve goals of the committee.</p>	<p>January-December 2019.</p>
<p>Support aligning of coordinated entry related budgets, activities, policies and monitoring between CoC and State Funders and mitigating differences between CoCs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Discussion on maximizing funding opportunities. b. Alignment of expectations and language. c. Aligning monitoring 	<p>Tom share with state funders in October 2018. Ji and Tom update in December 2018. Ji, Tom, Carl, Laura, and AG hold</p>

	d. Define universe of state funders.	meeting in October to process next steps.
	<p>Spread awareness of CES to other statewide associations, mainstream providers, and agencies including agencies including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Encouraging their engagement at the state and regional level; b. Developing common messaging on engagement (who, what, why, & how); c. Increasing awareness of the interacting systems; and d. Problem solving common obstacles to engagement. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Ji collect information from CoCs by November and priorities/needs. b. See 6a. above. c. See 6a. above. d. Ongoing
	<p>Act as venue to share CES data, updates and system information including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Key updates from CoCs; b. Federal partner information, resources and policies; and c. Central location for CES information, contacts and updates. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Monthly – TBD by CoCs on how to do this in October. b. Ongoing c. Identify plan by January.